

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,476	04/06/2001	Motoki Ide	P/2291-100	6147	
75	90 04/21/2006		EXAM	INER	
Steven I. Weisburd			HUYNH, THU V		
DICKSTEIN SI	HAPIRO MORIN & OSH Americas	IINSKY LLP	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
41st floor			2178		
New York, NY	10036-2714		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/827,476	IDE ET AL				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Thu V. Huynh	2178				
T. 10011110 DATE (11)						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o						
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No.						
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp						
following time periods:	mande with or or it it is a the rep	ry mast be med with	Toric of the			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv			er is later. In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		a) and the appropriate ext	ension fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	and the corresponding amount of the fee.	The appropriate extension	on fee under 37			
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta						
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s after the mailing date of the final rejection	on, even il umely illed, ma	ly reduce any			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com						
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b	pe filed within the time period set for	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	(a).			
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
<ul> <li>(a)    ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>		TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educina or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially for	cadeing or simplifying	, the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):	•				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		/ill be entered and an	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will a	not be entered .			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar						
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	, <b>,</b>		,			
9.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing						
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal		, ,	• •			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after of	entry is below or attac	ched.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allower	anaa baaayaa			
The request for reconsideration has been considered by	at does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
13. Other:		` ,				
<del></del>						
			•			
•						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment of claim 1 would necessitate further search and/or condideration, since the amended claim produces unclear limitation of "for displaying ...". Even, when amended claim 1 is written as applicants argument "plurality of parsers ... wherein the obtained content is parsed ... to produce displaying information for displaying an obtained content", the amended claim 1 would necessitate further search and/or condideration because "an obtained content", now is different from "the obtained content is parsed".

CESAR PAULA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Gresar D Park